REMARKS

Claims 1 through 16 and 23 through 28 remain in the application. No claim amendments are made in this paper. Claims 1 and 23 are the independent claims herein. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested, in view of the following arguments.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3, 6-11, 15 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Messina et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,239,200 in view of Vogel et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,317,326.

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection for reasons stated below.

Claim 1 is directed to an "apparatus" which includes "an integrated circuit (IC) die having a front surface on which an integrated circuit is formed and a rear surface that is opposite to the front surface". The apparatus of claim 1 further includes "a member to define at least one microchannel at the rear surface of the IC die, the microchannel to allow a coolant to flow therethrough". In addition, the apparatus of claim 1 includes "at least one thin film thermoelectric cooling (TFTEC) device in the at least one microchannel".

In discussing the Messina reference the Examiner correctly conceded that Messina does not disclose a TFTEC device in a microchannel. To attempt to make up for this deficiency in Messina, the Examiner purported to rely on the Vogel reference. Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner was completely incorrect in doing so.

Applicant is thoroughly perplexed by the Examiner's reference to element 114 in Vogel as being a thin film thermoelectric cooling device. In fact, Vogel merely states that element 114 is "adhesive". In this regard, the Examiner is respectfully directed to column 1, line 60 in Vogel.

Moreover, Vogel's disclosure seems to be entirely unrelated to thermoelectric cooling.

Applicant's representative has carefully reviewed Vogel's disclosure and finds no mention therein of thermoelectric cooling or of any thermoelectric cooling device, whether or not formed as a thin film. In short, the Vogel reference does not in any respect support the Examiner's

reliance thereupon. For this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections based on Vogel, which are all of the rejections now pending, should be reconsidered and withdrawn.¹

The above remarks are equally pertinent to claim 23 and to all the other pending claims, since claims 1 and 23 are the only independent claims. Nevertheless, applicant also wishes to present additional remarks in support of the patentability of dependent claims 12 and 14.

Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Messina et al. in view of Vogel et al. and further in view of Prasher et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,934,154 and Venkatasubramanian U.S. Patent No. 6,722,140.

* * * * * * *

STATEMENT OF COMMON OWNERSHIP

The Prasher reference is available as prior art, if at all, only pursuant to 35 USC § 102(e). However, at the time of the invention, both the Prasher reference and the subject matter of the present application were both owned by Intel Corporation. Therefore, pursuant to 35 USC § 103(c), the Prasher reference is disqualified from being used in an obviousness rejection.

* * * * * * *

It follows that even if the Vogel reference supported the Examiner's reliance thereupon, which it clearly does not, it still would be necessary to withdraw the pending rejection based on the Prasher reference.²

Also, though the Examiner is of course under no obligation to do so, the Examiner is respectfully urged to consider the related PCT Written Opinion, issued by the European Patent Office on October 10, 2005, and appended hereto as Appendix A. Applicant notes that the Written Opinion finds the claimed subject matter to be both "new" and "inventive". To quote a key passage of the Written Opinion: "[T]here is no hint in the prior art to provide microchannels through which a fluid flows with thin film thermoelectric cooling (TFTEC) devices."

² Applicant will also note in passing that the Venkatasubramanian reference has nothing to do with microchannels, is rather concerned with <u>cryogenic</u> cooling with a cascaded thermoelectric cooling device, and is therefore not analogous to Messina or Prasher, since Venkatasubramanian is not concerned with cooling integrated circuits.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending claims. If any issues remain, or if the Examiner has any further suggestions for expediting allowance of the present application, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned via telephone at (203) 972-3460.

Respectfully submitted,

December 13, 2006

Date

Nathaniel Levin

Registration No. 34,860

Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

50 Locust Avenue

New Canaan, CT 06840

(203) 972-3460

Appendix A – PCT International Searching Authority

APPENDIX A

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF & TALWALKAR, LLC Attn. Buckley, Patrick J. Five Elm Street New Canaan CT 06840 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

RECEIVED OCT 1 2 Z005 (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 10/10/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below P18285PCT International filing date International application No. (day/month/year) 25/03/2005 PCT/US2005/010177 Applicant INTEL CORPORATION

1. [x	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

- 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
- With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
 - the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.

 no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90*bis*.1 and 90*bis*.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Katrin Sommermeyer

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220	
P18285PCT	ACTION as well		as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US2005/010177	25/03/2005		29/03/2004	
Applicant				
INTEL CORPORATION				
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Sea ansmitted to the International Burea	rching Auti	nority and is transmitted to the applicant	
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sh	eets.		
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document of	ited in this	report.	
	international search was carried ou ess otherwise indicated under this i		sis of the international application in the	
The international this Authority (Ru		of a trans	ation of the international application furnished to	
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.	
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).			
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III).				
4. With regard to the title,				
X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.				
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:				
5. With regard to the abstract,				
	ubmitted by the applicant.			
the text has been established	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by	this Author	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant rch report, submit comments to this Authority.	
may, whili one month is	om the date of maining of this interne	ilionai sea		
6. With regard to the drawings,				
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No				
as suggested by	the applicant. is Authority, because the applicant	ailed to cu	noest a figure	
	is Authority, because the applicant is Authority, because this figure bet			
	e published with the abstract.		• ** Copyrigation **	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No CT/US2005/010177

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H01L23/473 H01L23/38

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H01L IPC 7

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of th	Relevant to claim No.	
Α	DE 103 13 685 A1 (PRECHTL, PET 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09) paragraphs '0166! - '0171!; fi	1-28	
Α	US 2002/174660 A1 (VENKATASUBR RAMA) 28 November 2002 (2002-1 abstract; figures 1,3,6,7,9	1-28	
Α	US 5 457 342 A (HERBST, II ET 10 October 1995 (1995-10-10) abstract; figure 4	1-28	
Α	US 6 424 533 B1 (CHU RICHARD C 23 July 2002 (2002-07-23) abstract; figures 2,3	ET AL)	1-28
		-/	
Y Fur	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Y Patent family members are li	sted in annex.
<u> </u>	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.		
° Special co	ategories of cited documents : nent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the or priority date and not in conflicing cited to understand the principle invention	e international filing date with the application but or theory underlying the
° Special co 'A' documents on si 'E' earlier filing 'L' documents on si	ategories of cited documents: nent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another	"T" later document published after the or priority date and not in conflict cited to understand the principle invention "X" document of particular relevance; cannot be considered novel or cinvolve an inventive step when the constitution of the country of the c	e international filing date with the application but or theory underlying the the claimed invention annot be considered to ne document is taken alone the claimed invention
Special commons 'A' docummons 'E' earlier filing 'L' docummons 'O' documother	ategories of cited documents: ment defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date lent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) nent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	"T" later document published after the or priority date and not in conflic cited to understand the principle invention "X" document of particular relevance; cannot be considered novel or c involve an inventive step when the considered to involve document of particular relevance; cannot be considered to involve document is combined with one ments, such combination being	e international filing date with the application but or theory underlying the the claimed invention annot be considered to be document is taken alone the claimed invention an inventive step when the or more other such docu-
° Special c 'A' docum consi 'E' earlier filing 'L' docum which citatic 'O' docum other 'P' docum	ategories of cited documents: nent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or a is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) nent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	"T" later document published after the or priority date and not in conflic cited to understand the principle invention "X" document of particular relevance; cannot be considered novel or cinvolve an inventive step when the cannot be considered to involve document is combined with one	e international filing date with the application but or theory underlying the the claimed invention annot be considered to be document is taken alone the claimed invention an inventive step when the or more other such docupobylous to a person skilled
° Special consider of the consider of the country o	ategories of cited documents: ment defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) ment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ment published prior to the international filing date but	"T" later document published after the or priority date and not in conflic cited to understand the principle invention "X" document of particular relevance; cannot be considered novel or cinvolve an inventive step when ti	e international filing date with the application but or theory underlying the the claimed invention annot be considered to be document is taken alone the claimed invention an inventive step when the or more other such docupobvious to a person skilled atent family
° Special count consi °E° earlier filing °L° docum which citatic °O° docum other °P° docum later (ategories of cited documents: ment defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) ment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ment published prior to the international filling date but than the priority date claimed	'T' later document published after the or priority date and not in conflic cited to understand the principle invention 'X' document of particular relevance; cannot be considered novel or cinvolve an inventive step when the considered to involve document of particular relevance; cannot be considered to involve document is combined with one ments, such combination being in the art. '&' document member of the same p	e international filing date with the application but or theory underlying the the claimed invention annot be considered to be document is taken alone the claimed invention an inventive step when the or more other such docupobvious to a person skilled atent family

Dauw, X

1

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No CT/US2005/010177

A US 4 894 709 A (PHILLIPS ET AL) 16 January 1990 (1990-01-16) abstract; figures 4,5 A WO 02/39241 A (VODAFONE PILOTENTWICKLUNG GMBH; THEISEN, SVEN; P21 GMBH) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16) abstract; figure 1
16 January 1990 (1990-01-16) abstract; figures 4,5 W0 02/39241 A (VODAFONE PILOTENTWICKLUNG GMBH; THEISEN, SVEN; P21 GMBH) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16) abstract; figure 1
GMBH; THEISEN, SVEN; P21 GMBH) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16) abstract; figure 1

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

rmation on patent family members

CT/US2005/010177

cited in search report		date		member(s)	date
DE 10313685	A1	09-10-2003	AU WO EP	2003222780 A1 03080233 A1 1506054 A1	08-10-2003 02-10-2003 16-02-2005
US 2002174660	A1	28-11-2002	NONE		
US 5457342	Α	10-10-1995	NONE		
US 6424533	B1	23-07-2002	NONE		
US 4894709	Α	16-01-1990	NONE		
WO 0239241	Α	16-05-2002	AU DE	1585102 A 10056172 A1	21-05-2002 27-06-2002

N. ES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
- "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TPSATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:					PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
·				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	cant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	national application NUS2005/01017		International filing date (c 25.03.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.03.2004	
	national Patent Class L23/473, H01L2		both national classification	and IPC		
Appli INT	cant EL CORPORAT	ION				
2.	 Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 					
	whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	For further detail	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.			
			•			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Dauw, X

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7674



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/010177

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. typ	e of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:						
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/010177

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-28

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-28

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-28

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/010177

Reference is made to the following documents (D):

D1 DE 103 13 685

D2 US 2002 174 660

D3 US 5 457 342

Box No. V

Given the documents found during a search of the prior art, it seems that the subject matter of the independent claims is new and inventive.

Heat sinks for semiconductor chips, having microchannels through which a coolant flows, defined by a groove and the backside of the chip, are known in the art (for example D1). Providing such a microchannel with a thin film thermoelectric cooling device in the channel, however, is new. Although there are examples where thermoelectric devices are combined with flowing fluids (D3), there is no hint in the prior art to provide microchannels through which a fluid flows with thin film thermoelectric cooling (TFTEC) devices.

The TFTEC devices as such are known as well in the prior art (D2), however, not supplied within a microchannel. There is no hint in the prior art that indicates that one could put the TFTEC devices in a microchannel through which also a coolant is flowing.

As a consequence, at present the subject matter of the independent claims seems to be new and inventive.